

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,722	10/29/2003	Tomohiro Azuma	Q77946	4037
23373 7590 01/24/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			WANG, TED M	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			<u> </u>	<del></del>
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/694,722	AZUMA, TOMOHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ted M. Wang	2611
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	•	1
Period for Reply	,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.4 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e. cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
Status .		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 ∧</u>	lovember 2007	
_	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under the		•
Disposition of Claims		
•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1 and 12 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 2-11 and 13-22 is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2007</u> is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & :	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r pricerry arradic de dice. S	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	•	plication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•	·
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eceived.
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intension Su	immary (PTO-413)
Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Infe	ormal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>-</u>

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 11/07/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 12 under 35 USC 102(a) has been fully considered and is persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shattil (US 7,076,168).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art of the instant application in view of Shattil (US 7,076,168).
  - □ With regard claim 1, the admitted prior art of the instant application discloses an array antenna transceiver (Fig.8 and page 8 lines 10-16) for performing broadband transmission by a multicarrier, comprising:

means for grouping all subcarriers (Fig.8 element 807) and calibrating a transmission route for each group (column page 4 lines 12-20).

The admitted prior art of the instant application discloses all of the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching that the adder 807 grouping all subcarriers into a plurality of subcarrier groups.

However, Shattil teaches grouping all subcarriers into a plurality of subcarrier groups (Fig. 13 element 207, 204, 255, and column 25 lines 25-56) in order to separate the received multicarrier signals and perform diversity combination for these grouped subcarriers so that the small-scale fading on interferometry multiplexing can be reduced (Abstract lines 14-15).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement the circuits 207, 204 and 255 as taught by Shattil in to Fig.8 of the admitted prior art of the instant application to substitute element 807 (Fig.8) in order to reduce the small-scale fading on interferometry multiplexing.

With regard claim 12, which is a method claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-11 and 13-22 are objected to as being dependent upon an objected claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2611

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ted M. Wang

Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611